

**GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA**  
**ABSTRACT**

Public Servants – PR&RD Dept. – Allegations of irregularities in drawing of bill for construction of (18) PYKKA Buildings, District Sports Authority (DSA) Mahabubnagar against Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding) - Disciplinary proceedings initiated under Rule 20 of Telangana Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 – Article of charges – Issued.

-----  
PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.I) DEPARTMENT

**G.O.Rt.No. 500**

**Dated: 29-08-2019**

\*\*\*

**ORDER:**

It is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding), in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the Telangana Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the enquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of article of charges (Annexure-I). A list of documents by which and a list of witnesses by whom, the article of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-II&III).

3. Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding), is directed to submit his written statement of his defence within ten (10) days from the date of receipt of this order.

4. Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding), is informed that an enquiry will be held only in respect of article of those charges as are not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding), is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence on or before the Period specified in Para 3 above or otherwise fails or refuses to comply with the provisions of Rule 20 of Telangana Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 or the orders / directions issued in pursuance of the said rules, the Inquiring Authority may hold the inquiry against him ex-parte.

6. Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding), is invited to Rule 24 of the Telangana Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, under which no Government Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interest in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt within these proceedings, it will be presumed that Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding), is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule-24 of the Telangana Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

7. The receipt of this G.O. shall be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

VIKAS RAJ  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Raj,  
Kosgi, Bogaram (M) (Absconding) through the Engineer-in-Chief PR, Hyderabad

(P.T.O)

::2::

The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad.

(in duplicate with a request to serve and return the dated acknowledgement copy)

Copy to:

The Secretary to VC, TVC, Hyderabad.

The Director General, General Administration (V&E) Department,  
BRKR Building, Hyderabad.

(w.r.t. Vigilance Report No.103 (298/V&E/EIII/2017, dt:27/10/2018)

SF/SC

//FORWARDED ::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

## **ANNEXURE –I**

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No.500, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.29.08.2019)

### **STATEMENT SHOWING THE ARTICLE OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI K.SANDEEP KUMAR, THE THEN ASSISTANT ENGINEER, PANCHAYAT RAJ, KOSGI, BOGARAM (M) (ABSCONDING)**

#### **CHARGE-I:-**

That Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently (on leave) was executed the work after the agreement period without valid permission.

#### **BASIS:**

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the work No.6: C/O PYKKA Building at Bogaram.

1	Name of the Work	C/o PYKKA Building at Bogaram
2	Location of the work	Bogaram (V), Kosgi (M)
3	Estimate Cost Rs.in lakhs	1.00
4	Grant	PYKKA
5	Period provided in Agreement	3 months from the Date of Agreement is 27.01.2012
6	Date of completion of work as per original Agreement.	26.04.2012
7	Date of commencement of work/ Recording.	25.07.2012
8	Last Date of check measurement of work	Check measurement was not done

The above work was executed after the agreement period and without valid permission from the agreement authority. Agreement was made for on 27.01.2012 with a provision to complete the work in (3) months. As per the above it is crystal clear that the said Assistant engineer has executed the works of PYKAA building after the agreement period without valid permission.

Therefore, Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently on long leave has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

#### **CHARGE-II :**

That Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently (on leave) Seignorage charges are not included in the Technical sanctioned Estimate and not recovered in bills.

#### **BASIS:**

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the work No.6: C/O PYKKA Building at Bogaram.

The Seignorage charges are not provided in the technical sanctions but the payment was made to the above work without deducting the seigniorage charges in the M.Book. Due to which financial loss caused to Government.

Thus, Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently (on leave)has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

(P.T.O)

::2::

**CHARGE-III :**

That Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently (on leave) made excess payments to the contractor for an amount of Rs.50,681/- by recording excess measurements.

**BASIS:**

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the work No.6: C/O PYKKA Building at Bogaram.

In respect of C/O PYKKA Building at Bogaram location of the work is Bogaram (V), Kosgi (M) the physical measurements are verified and found that not a single measurement of any of items executed (3 provisions) are tallying. The payment of Rs.72,156/- was made to the agency without check measurement of the above work and as per the site measurements the value of the work done is about Rs.21,475/- only ie., an excess payment of Rs.50,681/- was done. Due to which huge financial loss caused to Government exchequer.

Thus, Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently (on leave) has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

**CHARGE-IV :**

That Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently (on leave) IT, EMD, FSD were not deducted from the bill.

**BASIS:**

The V&E Department made the following observations in their Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018 in respect of the work No.6: C/O PYKKA Building at Bogaram.

In the above work Income tax, EMD & FSD amounts were not deducted. Therefore financial loss caused to Government.

Thus, Sri K.Sandeep Kumar, the then AE (PR) Kosgi, Presently (on leave) has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly. Thus his action is in violation of Rule (3) of T.S.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the Charge.

VIKAS RAJ  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR&RD)

SECTION OFFICER

**ANNEXURE –II**

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No.500, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.29.08.2019)

**LIST OF THE DOCUMENTS BY WHICH THE ARTICLE OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI K.SANDEEP KUMAR, THE THEN ASSISTANT ENGINEER, PANCHAYAT RAJ, KOSGI, BOGARAM (M) (ABSCONDING) ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.**

Vigilance Report No. 103 (298/V&E /E III/2017)/C.No.540/V&E/D2/2016), dt.27.10.2018

VIKAS RAJ  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR&RD)

SECTION OFFICER

**ANNEXURE – III**

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No.500, PR&RD (Vig.I) Dept., dt.29.08.2019)

**LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHOM THE ARTICLE OF CHARGES FRAMED SRI K.SANDEEP KUMAR, THE THEN ASSISTANT ENGINEER, PANCHAYAT RAJ, KOSGI, BOGARAM (M) (ABSCONDING) ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.**

-NIL-

VIKAS RAJ  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR&RD)

SECTION OFFICER